

READING LIST
(version 3)

1. Methodology of Laboratory Experiments

1. V. Smith, "Microeconomic Systems as an Experimental Science," *American Economic Review*, December 1982, pp. 923-955.
2. V. Smith, "Experimental Methods in Economics," in J. Eatwell, et al. (eds.), *The New Palgrave: A Dictionary of Economics* (New York: The Stockton Press, 1987).
3. V. Smith, "Theory, Experiment, and Economics," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, Winter 1989, pp 151-169.
4. J. Cox and M. Isaac, "Experimental Economics and Experimental Psychology: Ever the Twain Shall Meet?," in A.J. MacFadyen and H.W. MacFadyen (eds.), *Economic Psychology: Intersections in Theory and Application* (New York: North-Holland, 1986).
5. V. Smith, "Rational Choice: The Contrast between Economics and Psychology," *Journal of Political Economy*, 99, 1991, pp. 877-897.
6. S. Leavitt, and J. List, "What do Laboratory Experiments Tell Us About the Real World." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 21 (2), 2007, 153-174.

2. Methodology of Field Experiments

1. G. Harrison and J. List, "Field Experiments," *Journal of Economic Literature*, 42(4), 2004, pp. 1013-1059

3. Some Natural Experiments

1. B. C. Madrian & D. F. Shea, "The Power of Suggestion: Inertia in 401(k) Participation and Savings Behavior," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, CXVI(4), 2001, 1149-87.
2. R. H. Thaler & Shlomo Benartzi, "Save More TomorrowTM: Using Behavioral Economics to Increase Employee Saving," *Journal of Political Economy*, 112(1), pt.2, 2004, S164-87.

4. Markets

1. V. Smith, "Bidding and Auctioning Institutions: Experimental Results," in Y. Amihud (ed.) *Bidding and Auctioning for Procurement and Allocation* (New York University Press, 1976).
2. V. Smith, "Markets as Economizers of Information: Experimental Examination of the Hayek Hypothesis," *Economic Inquiry*, April 1982, pp. 165-179.

3. Williams, "Intertemporal Competitive Equilibrium," in V. Smith (ed.), *Research in Experimental Economics*, vol. 1 (Greenwich: JAI Press, 1979).
4. V. Smith and A. Williams, "Cyclical Double-Auction Markets with and without Speculators," *Journal of Business*, Jan. 1984, pp. 1-33.
5. D. Gode and S. Sunder, "Allocative Efficiency of Markets with Zero-Intelligence Traders: Market as a Partial Substitute for Individual Rationality," *Journal of Political Economy*, 101, Feb. 1993, pp. 119-137.
6. M. Van Boening and N. Wilcox, "Avoidable Cost; Ride a Double Auction Roller Coaster," *American Economic Review*, 86, June 1996, pp. 461-477.
7. P. Brewer, M. Huang, B. Nelson, and C. Plott, "On the Behavioral Foundations of the Law of Supply and Demand: Human Convergence and Robot Randomness," *Experimental Economics*, 5, 2002, pp. 179 – 208.
8. S. Mestelman and D. Welland, "Advance Production in Experimental Markets," *Review of Economic Studies*, 55, Oct. 1988, pp.

5. Market Dynamics

1. M. Isaac and C. Plott, "Price Controls and the Behavior of Auction Markets," *American Economic Review*, June 1981, pp. 448-459.
2. V. Smith and A. Williams, "On Nonbinding Price Controls in a Competitive Market," *American Economic Review*, June 1981, pp. 467-474.
3. D. Coursey and V. Smith, "Price Controls in a Posted Offer Market," *American Economic Review*, March 1983, pp. 218-221.

6. Market Power

1. V. Smith, "An Empirical Study of Decentralized Institutions of Monopoly Restraint," in G. Horwich and J. Quirk (eds.), *Essays in Contemporary Fields of Economics* (West Lafayette: Purdue University Press, 1981).
2. C. Holt, L. Langan, and A. Villamil, "Market Power in Oral Double Auctions," *Economic Inquiry*, Jan. 1986, pp. 107-123.
3. V. Smith and A. Williams, "The Boundaries of Competitive Price Theory: Convergence, Expectation, and Transaction Costs," in L. Green and J. Kagel (eds.), *Advances in Behavioral Economics*, vol. 2 (Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing, 1990).

7. Market Design

1. Roth, Alvin E., Tayfun Sonmez, and M. Utku Unver, "Efficient Kidney Exchange: Coincidence of Wants in a Market with Compatibility-Based Preferences," *American Economic Review*, forthcoming.

2. Roth, Alvin E. "Repugnance as a Constraint on Markets," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, forthcoming.

8. Incentive Mechanisms for Control of Monopolies

1. M. Loeb and W. Magat, "A Decentralized Method for Utility Regulation," *Journal of Law and Economics*, Oct. 1979, pp. 399-404.
2. J. Cox and M. Isaac, "Incentive Regulation: A Case Study in the Use of Laboratory Experimental Analysis in Economics," in S. Moriaty (ed.), *Laboratory Market Research* (Norman: The University of Oklahoma, Center for Economic and Management Research, 1986).
3. J. Cox and M. Isaac, "Mechanisms for Incentive Regulation: Theory and Experiment," *Rand Journal of Economics*, Autumn 1987, pp. 348-359.
4. J. Cox and M. Isaac, "Incentive Regulation and Innovation," in M. Isaac (ed.), *Research in Experimental Economics*, vol. 5 (Greenwich: JAI Press, 1992).

9. Individual Choice Under Certainty

1. R. Battalio, J. Kagel, et al., "A Test of Consumer Demand Theory Using Observations of Individual Consumer Purchases," *Western Economic Journal*, Dec. 1973, pp. 411-428.
2. J. Cox, "On Testing the Utility Hypothesis," *Economic Journal*, vol. 107, July 1997, pp. 1054-1078.
3. D. Brookshire, D. Coursey and W. Schulze, "The External Validity of Experimental Economics Techniques: Analysis of Demand Behavior," *Economic Inquiry*, 25, April 1987, pp. 239-250.
4. J. Kagel, R. Battalio, et al., "Experimental Studies of Consumer Demand Behavior Using Laboratory Animals," *Economic Inquiry*, 13, March 1975, pp. 22-38.
5. R. Battalio, L. Green, and J. Kagel, "Income-Leisure Tradeoffs of Animal Workers," *American Economic Review*, Sept. 1981, pp. 621-632.
6. R. Battalio, J. Kagel, et al., "Commodity Choice Behavior with Pigeons as Subjects," *Journal of Political Economy*, 89, Feb. 1981, pp. 67-91.
7. R. Battalio, G. Dwyer, and J. Kagel, "Tests of Competing Theories of Consumer Choice and the Representative Consumer Hypothesis," *Economic Journal*, 97, Dec. 1988, pp. 842-856.
8. R. Battalio, J. Kagel, and C. Kogut, "Experimental Confirmation of the Existence of a Giffen Good," *American Economic Review*, 81, Sept. 1991, pp. 961-970.

10. Theories of Choice Under Risk

1. P. Schoemaker, "The Expected Utility Model: Its Variants, Purposes, Evidence and Limitations," *Journal of Economic Literature*, June 1982, pp. 529-563.
2. M. Machina, "Choice under Uncertainty: Problems Solved and Unsolved," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 1, Summer 1987, pp. 121-154.
3. D. Kahneman and A. Tversky, "Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision Under Risk," *Econometrica*, 47, March 1979, pp. 263-291.
4. A. Tversky and D. Kahneman, "Advances in Prospect Theory: Cumulative Representation of Uncertainty," *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 5, 1992, pp. 297-323.
5. M. Rabin, (2000): "Risk Aversion and Expected Utility Theory: A Calibration Theorem," *Econometrica*, 68, 2000, pp. 1281-92.
6. J. Cox and V. Sadiraj, "Small- and Large-Stakes Risk Aversion: Implications of Concavity Calibration for Decision Theory," *Games and Economic Behavior*, 56 (1), July 2006, pp. 45-60.
7. J. Cox and V. Sadiraj, "Risky Decisions in the Large and in the Small: Theory and Experiment." In J.C. Cox and G.W. Harrison (eds.), *Risk Aversion in Experiments* (Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, Research in Experimental Economics, Volume 12, forthcoming).
8. J. Cox, V. Sadiraj, B. Vogt, and U. Dasgupta, "Is There A Plausible Theory for Risky Decisions?," ExCEN Working Paper 2007-05, Georgia State University.

11. Risk Aversion

1. C. Holt and S. Laury, "Risk Aversion and Incentive Effects," *American Economic Review*, 92, 2002, pp. 1644 – 55.
2. G. Harrison, et al., "Risk Aversion and Incentive Effects: Comment," *American Economic Review*, 95, 2005, pp. 897 - 901.
3. C. Holt and S. Laury, "Risk Aversion and Incentive Effects: New Data without Order Effects," *American Economic Review*, 95, 2005, pp. 902-904.

12. Individual Choice Under Risk

1. D. Grether, "Bayes Rule as a Descriptive Model: The Representativeness Heuristic," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Nov. 1980, pp. 537-557.
2. D. Grether and C. Plott, "Economic Theory of Choice and the Preference Reversal Phenomenon," *American Economic Review*, Sept. 1979, pp. 623-638.
3. C. Holt, "Preference Reversals and the Independence Axiom," *American Economic*

- Review*, June 1986, pp. 508-515.
4. J. Cox and S. Epstein, "Preference Reversals Without the Independence Axiom," *American Economic Review*, June 1989, pp 408-426.
 5. G. Loomes, C. Starmer, and R. Sugden, "Observing Violations of Transitivity By Experimental Methods," *Econometrica*, 59, 1991, pp. 425-439.
 6. C. Starmer and R. Sugden, "Testing for Juxtaposition and Event - Splitting Effects," *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 6 June 1993, pp. 235-254.
 7. R. Battalio, J. Kagel, and D. MacDonald, "Animals' Choices Over Uncertain Outcomes: Some Initial Experimental Results," *American Economic Review*, 75, Sept. 1985, pp. 597-613.
 8. C. Camerer, "An Experimental Test of Several Generalized Utility Theories," *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 2, April 1989, pp. 61-104.
 9. R. Battalio, J. Kagel, and K. Jiranyakul, "Testing Between Alternative Models of Choice Under Uncertainty: Some Initial Results," *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 3, March 1990, pp. 25-50.
 10. J. Quiggin, "Testing Between Alternative Models of Choice Under Uncertainty - Comment," *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 6 April 1993, pp. 161-164.
 11. J. Kagel, D. MacDonald, and R. Battalio, "Tests of 'Fanning Out' of Indifference Curves: Results from Animal and Human Experiments," *American Economic Review*, 80, Sept. 1990, pp. 912-921.

13. Willingness to Accept, Willingness to Pay, and Contingent Valuation

1. J. Knetsch and J. Sinden, "Willingness to Pay and Compensation Demanded: Experimental Evidence of an Unexpected Disparity in Measures of Value," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Aug. 1984, pp. 507-521.
2. W. M. Hanemann, "Willingness to Pay and Willingness to Accept: How Much Can they Differ?," *American Economic Review*, 81, June 1991, pp. 635-647.
3. R. Boyce, et. al., "An Experimental Examination of Intrinsic Values as a Source of the WTA - WTP Disparity," *American Economic Review*, 82, December 1992, pp. 1366-1373.
4. J. Irwin, et. al., "Preference Reversals and the Measurement of Environmental Values," *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 6 January 1993, pp. 5-18.
5. J. Shogren, et. al., "Resolving Differences in Willingness to Pay and Willingness to Accept," *American Economic Review*, 84, March 1994.
6. R. Cummings, G. Harrison, and E. Rutstrom, "Homegrown Values and Hypothetical Surveys: Is the Dichotomous - Choice Approach Incentive - Compatible?," *American*

Economic Review, forthcoming.

7. C. Plott and K. Zeiler, "The Willingness to Pay – Willingness to Accept Gap, the "Endowment Effect," Subject Misconceptions, and Experimental Procedures for Eliciting Valuations," *American Economic Review*, 95, 2005, 530 – 545.

14. Market Feedback and Choice Under Uncertainty

1. D. Coursey, J. Hovis, and W. Schulze, "The Disparity Between Willingness to Accept and Willingness to Pay Measures of Value," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Aug. 1987, pp. 679-690.
2. C. Camerer, "Do Biases in Probability Judgment Matter in Markets? Experimental Evidence," *American Economic Review*, Dec. 1987, pp. 981-997.
3. C. Camerer, G. Loewenstein, and M. Weber, "The Curse of Knowledge in Economic Settings: An Experimental Analysis," *Journal of Political Economy*, 97, Oct. 1989, pp. 1232-1254.
4. J. Cox and D. Grether, "The Preference Reversal Phenomenon: Response Mode, Markets and Incentives," *Economic Theory*, 7, no. 3, 1996.

15. Insurance, Ambiguity, and Risk

1. C. Camerer and H. Kunreuther, "Experimental Markets for Insurance," *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 2 September 1989, pp. 265-299.
2. E. Johnson, et. al., "Framing, Probability Distortions, and Insurance Decisions," *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 7 August 1993, pp. 35-51.
3. G. McClelland, W. Schulze, and D. Coursey, "Insurance for Low-Probability Hazards: A Bimodal Response to Unlikely Events," *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 7 August 1993, pp. 95-116.
4. R. Sarin and M. Weber, "Effects of Ambiguity in Market Experiments," *Management Science*, 39, May 1993, pp. 602-615.

16. Search Decisions

1. Y. Braunstein and A. Schotter, "Economic Search: An Experimental Study," *Economic Inquiry*, 19, Jan. 1981, pp. 1-25.
2. Y. Braunstein and A. Schotter, "Labor Market Search: An Experimental Study," *Economic Inquiry*, 20, Jan. 1982, pp. 133-144.
3. J. Cox and R. Oaxaca, "Laboratory Experiments with a Finite Horizon Job Search Model," *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 2, Sept. 1989, pp. 301-329.
4. J. Cox and R. Oaxaca, "Tests for a Reservation Wage Effect," in John Geweke (ed.), *Decision Making Under Risk and Uncertainty: New Models and Empirical Findings*.

Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers (in press).

5. J. Cox and R. Oaxaca, "Direct Tests of the Reservation Wage Property," *Economic Journal*, 102, Nov. 1992, pp. 1423-1432.
6. J. Cox and R. Oaxaca, "Testing Job Search Models: The Laboratory Approach," in S. Polochek (ed.), *Research in Labor Economics*, vol. 15 (Greenwich: JAI Press, 1996).
7. J. Cox and R. Oaxaca, "Search from Offer Distributions with and without the Reservation Wage Property," *Experimental Economics*, vol. 2, no. 3, 2000.
8. D. Grether, A. Schwartz, and L. Wilde, "Uncertainty and Shopping Behavior: An Experimental Analysis," *Review of Economic Studies*, April 1988, pp. 239-250.
9. G. Harrison and P. Morgan, "Search Intensity in Experiments," *Economic Journal*, 100, June 1990, pp. 478-486.
10. T. Cason and D. Friedman, "Buyer Search and Price Dispersion: A Laboratory Study." *Journal of Economic Theory*, 112(2), 2003, pp. 232-260.

17. Auction Markets: Theory and Experiments

1. V. Smith, "Auctions," in J. Eatwell, et al. (eds.), *The New Palgrave: A Dictionary of Economics* (New York: The Stockton Press, 1987).
2. J. Cox, B. Roberson, and V. Smith, "Theory and Behavior of Single Object Auctions," in V. Smith (ed.) *Research in Experimental Economics*, vol. 2 (Greenwich: JAI Press, 1982).
3. J. Cox, V. Smith, and J. Walker, "Theory and Individual Behavior of First Price Auctions," *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, March 1988, pp. 61-99.
4. V. Harlow and K. Brown, "Understanding and Assessing Financial Risk Tolerance: A Biological Perspective," *Financial Analysts Journal*, Nov.-Dec. 1990, pp. 50-62, 80.
5. J. Cox, V. Smith, and J. Walker, "Theory and Behavior of Multiple Unit Discriminative Auctions," *Journal of Finance*, Sept. 1984, pp. 983-1010.
6. G. Miller and C. Plott, "Revenue Generating Properties of Sealed-Bid Auctions: An Experimental Analysis of One-Price and Discriminative Processes," in V. Smith (ed.), *Research in Experimental Economic*, vol. 3 (Greenwich: JAI Press, 1985).
7. J. Cox, V. Smith, and J. Walker, "Expected Revenue in Discriminative and Uniform Price Sealed Bid Auctions," in V. Smith (ed.), *Research in Experimental Economics*, vol. 3 (Greenwich: JAI Press, 1985).
8. M. Isaac and J Walker, "Information and Conspiracy in Sealed Bid Auctions," *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 6, 1985, pp. 139-159.

9. J. Kagel, R. Harstad, and D. Levin, "Information Impact and Allocation Rules in Auctions with Affiliated Private Values: A Laboratory Study," *Econometrica*, Nov. 1987, pp. 1275-1304.
10. J. Kagel and D. Levin, "The Winner's Curse and Public Information in Common Value Auctions," *American Economic Review*, Dec. 1986, pp. 894-920.
11. J. Cox, S. Dinkin, and V. Smith, "The Winner's Curse and Public Information in Common Value Auctions: Comment," *American Economic Review*, 89, March 1999, pp. 319-324.
12. C. Campbell, J. Kagel and D. Levin, "The Winner's Curse and Public Information in Common Value Auctions: Reply," *American Economic Review*, 89, March 1999, pp. 325-334.
13. J. Cox, S. Dinkin, and J. Swarthout, "Endogenous Entry and Exit in Common Value Auctions," *Experimental Economics*, vol. 4, no. 2, 2001, pp. 163-181.
14. J. Cox, R. M. Isaac, P. Cech, and D. Conn, "Moral Hazard and Adverse Selection in Procurement Contracting," *Games and Economic Behavior*, vol. 17, 1996, pp. 147-176.
15. J. Cox and R. Oaxaca, "Is Bidding Behavior Consistent with Bidding Theory in Private Value Auctions?" in R. M. Isaac (ed.), *Research in Experimental Economics*, vol. 6 (Greenwich: JAI Press, 1996).
16. J. Goeree, C. Holt, and T. Palfrey, "Quantal Response Equilibrium and Overbidding in Private Value Auctions," *Journal of Economic Theory*, 104, 2002, pp. 247 – 272.
17. D. Reiley and J. List, "Demand Reduction in Multi-Unit Auctions: Evidence from a Sportscard Field Experiment," *American Economic Review*, September 2000, vol. 90, no. 4, pp. 961-972.
18. D. Reiley, "Using Field Experiments to Test Equivalence Between Auction Formats: Magic on the Internet," *American Economic Review*, December 1999, vol. 89, no. 5, pp.1063-1080.

18. Methodology: Lottery Payoffs and the Random Decision Selection Procedure

1. J. Berg, et al., "Controlling Preferences for Lotteries on Units of Experimental Exchange," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 101, pp. 281-306.
2. J. Walker, V. Smith, and J. Cox, "Inducing Risk-Neutral Preferences: An Examination in a Controlled Market Environment," *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 3, March 1990, pp. 5-24.
3. T. Rietz, "Implementing and Testing Risk-Preference-Induction Mechanisms in Experimental Sealed - Risk Auctions," *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 7, Oct. 1993, pp. 199-213.
4. J. Cox and R. Oaxaca, "Inducing Risk Neutral Preferences: Further Analysis of the

Data," *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 11, July 1995.

5. R. Selten, A. Sadrieh, and K. Abbink, "Money Does Not Induce Risk Neutral Behavior, but Binary Lotteries Do even Worse," *Theory and Decision*, 46, 1999, pp. 211 – 249.
6. C. Starmer and R. Sugden, "Does the Random-Lottery Incentive System Elicit True Preferences? An Experimental Investigation," *American Economic Review*, 81, Sept. 1991, pp. 971-978.

19. Methodology: The Metric War

1. G. Harrison, "Theory and Misbehavior of First-Price Auctions," *American Economic Review*, 79, Sept. 1989, pp. 749-762.
2. D. Friedman, "Theory and Misbehavior of First-Price Auctions: Comment," *American Economic Review*, 82, Dec. 1992, pp.1374-1378.
3. J. Kagel and A. Roth, "Theory and Misbehavior of First-Price Auctions: Comment," *American Economic Review*, 82, Dec. 1992, pp. 1379-1391.
4. J. Cox, V. Smith, and J. Walker, "Theory and Misbehavior of First-Price Auctions: Comment," *American Economic Review*, 82, Dec. 1992, pp. 1392-1412.
5. A. Merlo and A. Schotter, "Theory and Misbehavior of First-Price Auctions: Comment," *American Economics Review*, 82, Dec. 1992, pp. 1413-1425.
6. G. Harrison, "Theory and Misbehavior of First-Price Auctions: Reply," *American Economic Review*, 82, Dec. 1992, pp. 1426-1443.

20. Expectations and Asset Valuation

1. R. Forsythe, T. Palfrey, and C. Plott, "Asset Valuation in an Experimental Market," *Econometrica*, May 1982, pp. 537-567.
2. C. Plott and S. Sunder, "Efficiency of Experimental Security Markets with Insider Information: An Application of Rational Expectations Models," *Journal of Political Economy*, Aug. 1982, pp. 663-698.
3. D. Friedman, G. Harrison, and J. Salmon, "The Informational Efficiency of Experimental Asset Markets," *Journal of Political Economy*, June 1984, pp. 349-408.
4. T. Copeland and D. Friedman, "The Effect of Sequential Information Arrival on Asset Prices: An Experimental Study," *Journal of Finance*, 42, 1987, pp. 763-797.
5. V. Smith, G. Suchanek, and A. Williams, "Bubbles, Crashes and Endogenous Expectations in Experimental Spot Asset Markets," *Econometrica*, Sept. 1988, p.1119-11151.

6. C. Plott and S. Sunder, "Rational Expectations and the Aggregation of Diverse Information in Laboratory Security Markets," *Econometrica*, 56, Sept. 1988, pp. 1085-1118.
7. T. Copeland and D. Friedman, "Partial Revelation of Information in Experimental Asset Markets," *Journal of Finance*, 46, 1991, pp. 265-295.
8. T. Copeland and D. Friedman, "The Market Value of Information: Some Experimental Results," *Journal of Business*, 65, 1992, pp. 241-266.
9. S. Sunder, "Market for Information: Experimental Evidence," *Econometrica*, 60, May 1992, pp. 667-695.

21. Learning in Experiments

1. R. Bloomfield, "Learning Mixed Strategy Equilibria in the Laboratory," *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 25, 1994, pp. 411-436.
2. D. Mookerjee and B. Sopher, "Learning Behavior in an Experimental Matching Pennies Game," *Games and Economic Behavior*, 7, 1994, pp. 62-91.
3. J. Ochs, "Games with Unique Mixed Strategy Equilibria: An Experimental Study," *Games and Economic Behavior*, 10, 1995, pp. 202-217.
4. D. Stahl and P. Wilson, "Experimental Evidence on Players' Models of Other Players," *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization* 25, 1994, pp. 309-327.
5. D. Stahl and P. Wilson, "On Players' Models of Other Players -- Theory and Experimental Evidence," *Games and Economic Behavior*, 10, 1995, pp. 213-254.
6. D. Stahl, "Population Rule Learning in Symmetric Normal-Form Games: Theory and Evidence," *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, forthcoming.
7. A. Roth and I. Erev, "Learning in Extensive-Form Games: Experimental Data and Simple Dynamic Model in the Intermediate Term," *Games and Economic Behavior*, 8, 1995, pp. 164-212.
8. I. Erev and A. Roth, "Predicting How People Play Games: Reinforcement Learning in Experimental Games with Unique Mixed Strategy Equilibria," *American Economic Review*, 88, 1998, pp. 848-881.
9. Daniel, D. Seale, and A. Rapoport, "Strategic play and adaptive learning in sealed bid bargaining mechanism," *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 42, 1998, pp. 133-166.
10. Ho, C. Camerer, and K. Weigelt, "Iterated Dominance and Iterated Best-Response in Experimental 'P-Beauty Contests,'" *American Economic Review*, 88, 1998, pp. 947-969.

11. C. Camerer and T. Ho, "EWA Learning in Coordination Games: Probability Rules, Heterogeneity, and Time-variation," *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 42, 1998, pp. 305-326.
12. Camerer and T. Ho, "Experience-Weighted Attraction Learning in Normal Form Games," *Econometrica*, 67, 1999, pp. 827-874.
13. Y-W. Cheung and D. Friedman, "Individual Learning in Normal Form Games: Some Laboratory Results," *Games and Economic Behavior*, 19, 1997, pp. 46-76.
14. Y-W. Cheung and D. Friedman, "Comparison of Learning and Replicator Dynamics Using Experimental Data," *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 35, 1998, pp. 263-280.
15. J. Cox and M. Walker, "Learning to Play Cournot Duopoly Strategies," *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 36, No. 2, 1 August 1998.
16. J. Cox, J. Shachat, and M. Walker, "An Experiment to Evaluate Bayesian Learning of Nash Equilibrium Play," *Games and Economic Behavior*, 34, January 2001, pp. 11-33.
17. N. Feltovich, "Reinforcement-Based vs. Beliefs-Based Learning in Experimental Asymmetric-Information Games," *Econometrica*, 68, 2000, pp. 605-641.

22. Herd Behavior and Information Cascades

1. A. Banerjee, "A Simple Model of Herd Behavior," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, CVII, 1992, pp. 798-817.
2. C. Holt and L. Anderson, "Information Cascades in the Laboratory," *American Economic Review*, December 1997, pp. 847-862.
3. L. Allsopp and J. Hey, "Two Experiments to Test a Model of Herd Behaviour," *Experimental Economics*, vol 3, no 2, October 2000, pp 121-136.

23. Experiments on Trust, Reciprocity, and Altruism

1. R. Forsythe, J. Horowitz, N. Savin, and M. Sefton, "Replicability, Fairness, and Pay in Experiments with Simple Bargaining Games," *Games and Economic Behavior*, 6(3), pp. 347-369.
2. E. Hoffman, K. McCabe, K. Shachat, and V. Smith, "Preferences, Property Rights, and Anonymity in Bargaining Games," *Games and Economic Behavior*, November 1994, 7(3), pp. 346-80.
3. E. Hoffman, K. McCabe, and V. Smith, "Social Distance and Other-Regarding Behavior in Dictator Games," *American Economic Review*, 3, 1996, pp. 653-660.

4. E. Hoffman, K. McCabe, and V. Smith, "Behavioral Foundations of Reciprocity: Experimental Economics and Evolutionary Psychology." *Economic Inquiry*, 36, 1998, pp. 335-352.
5. J. Berg, J. Dickhaut, and K. McCabe, "Trust, Reciprocity, and Social History." *Games and Economic Behavior*, July 1995, 10(1), pp. 122-42.
6. K. McCabe, S. Rassenti, and V. Smith, "Reciprocity, Trust, and Payoff Privacy in Extensive Form Bargaining Games." *Games and Economic Behavior*, 24, 1998, pp. 10-24.
7. Blount, "When Social Outcomes Aren't Fair: The Effect of Causal Attributions on Preferences." *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, August 1995, 63(2), pp. 131-44.
8. G. Bolton, J. Brandts, and A. Ockenfels, "Measuring Motivations for the Reciprocal Responses Observed in Simple Dilemma Games." *Experimental Economics*, 1998, 1(3), pp. 207-19.
9. J. Cox, "How to Identify Trust and Reciprocity," *Games and Economic Behavior*, 46, 2004, pp. 260-281.
10. C. Deck, "A Test of Game Theoretic and Behavioral Models of Play in Exchange and Insurance Environments," *American Economic Review*, 91, December 2001, pp. 1546-1555.
11. A. Falk, E. Fehr, and U. Fischbacher. "On the Nature of Fair Behavior." *Economic Inquiry*, 1(1), 2003, pp. 20-26.
12. J. Cox and C. Deck, "On the Nature of Reciprocal Motives," *Economic Inquiry*, 2005, 43, pp. 623-635.
13. J. Cox and C. Deck, "When Are Women More Generous than Men?" *Economic Inquiry*, 44 (6), October 2006, pp. 587-598.
14. J. Cox and C. Deck, "Assigning Intentions when Actions Are Unobservable: The Impact of Trembling in the Trust Game." *Southern Economic Journal*, 73(2), October 2006, pp. 307-314.
15. J. Andreoni J. Miller, "Giving According to GARP: An Experimental Test of the Consistency of Preferences for Altruism." *Econometrica*, 70, 2002, pp. 737-753.
16. J. Cox, K. Sadiraj, and V. Sadiraj, "Implications of Trust, Fear, and Reciprocity for Modeling Economic Behavior," *Experimental Economics*, in press.
17. J. Cox and V. Sadiraj, "Direct Tests of Models of Social Preferences." ExCEN Working Paper , Georgia State University.
18. J. Cox , "Trust and Reciprocity: Implications of Game Triads and Social Contexts." ExCEN Working Paper 2007-08, Georgia State University.

19. E. Fehr and A. Falk, "Wage Rigidity in a Competitive Incomplete Contract Market." *Journal of Political Economy*, 107, 1999, pp. 106-134.
20. E. Fehr and S. Gächter, "Fairness and Retaliation: The Economics of Reciprocity." *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 14, 2000, pp. 159-191.
21. E. Fehr and S. Gächter, "Do Incentive Contracts Crowd Out Voluntary Cooperation?" University of Zurich, February 2000.
22. E. Fehr, S. Gächter, and G. Kirchsteiger, "Reciprocal Fairness and Noncompensating Wage Differentials." *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics*, 152, 1996, pp. 608-640.
23. E. Fehr, S. Gächter, and G. Kirchsteiger, "Reciprocity as a Contract Enforcement Device: Experimental Evidence." *Econometrica*, July 1997, 65(4), pp. 833-60.
24. E. Fehr, G. Kirchsteiger, and A. Riedl, "Does Fairness Prevent Market Clearing? An Experimental Investigation." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, May 1993, 108(2), pp. 437-60.
25. G. Charness, G. Frechette, and J. Kagel, "How Robust is Laboratory Gift Exchange?," *Experimental Economics*, 7, 2004, pp. 189 – 205.
26. J. Cox, E. Ostrom, J. Walker, J. Castillo, E. Coleman, R. Holahan, M. Schoon and B. Steed, "Trust in Private and Common Property Experiments." ExCEN Working Paper 2007-11, Georgia State University.

24. Modeling Trust, Reciprocity, and Altruism

1. G. Bolton and A. Ockenfels, "ERC: A Theory of Equity, Reciprocity and Competition." *American Economic Review*, March 2000, 90(1), pp. 166-93.
2. M. Dufwenberg and G. Kirchsteiger, "A Theory of Sequential Reciprocity." discussion paper, CentER for Economics Research, Tilburg University, 1999.
3. Falk and U. Fischbacher, "A Theory of Reciprocity." *Games and Economic Behavior*, 2005, in press.
4. E. Fehr and K. Schmidt, "A Theory of Fairness, Competition, and Cooperation." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, August 1999, 114(3), pp. 817-68.
5. D. Levine, "Modeling Altruism and Spitefulness in Experiments." *Review of Economic Dynamics*, July 1998, 1(3), pp. 593-622.
6. M. Nowak and K. Sigmund, "Evolution of Indirect Reciprocity by Image Scoring." *Nature*, 393, pp. 573-7.

7. M. Nowak and K. Sigmund, "The Dynamics of Indirect Reciprocity." *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 194, pp. 561-74.
8. M. Rabin, "Incorporating Fairness into Game Theory and Economics." *American Economic Review*, 83, 1993, pp. 1281-1302.
9. J. Cox and V. Sadiraj, "Direct Tests of Models of Social Preferences and a New Model." working paper, University of Arizona, 2005.
10. J. Cox, D. Friedman, and Steven Gjerstad, "A Tractable Model of Reciprocity and Fairness." *Games and Economic Behavior*, 59(1), 2007, 17-45.
11. J. Cox, D. Friedman, and V. Sadiraj, "Revealed Altruism." *Econometrica*, 76(1), 2008, 31-69.

25. Coasean Bargaining

1. R. Coase, "The Problem of Social Cost." *Journal of Law and Economics*, 3, 1960, 1- 44.
2. T. Cherry and J. Shogren, "Costly Coasean Bargaining and Property Right Security." *Environmental and Resource Economics* 31 (3), 2005, 349-367.
3. D. Coursey, E. Hoffman, and M. Spitzer, "Fear and Loathing in the Coase Theorem: Experimental Tests Involving Physical Discomfort." *Journal of Legal Studies* 16, 1987, 217-248.
4. G. Harrison and M. McKee, "Experimental Evaluation of the Coase Theorem." *Journal of Law and Economics* 28, 1985, 653-670.
5. E. Hoffman and M. Spitzer, "The Coase Theorem: Some Experimental Tests." *Journal of Law and Economics* 25 (1), 1982, 73-98.
6. E. Hoffman and M. Spitzer, "Experimental Tests of the Coase Theorem with Large Bargaining Groups." *Journal of Legal Studies* 15 (1), 1986, 149-171.
7. D. Kahneman, J. Knetsch, and R. Thaler, "Experimental Tests of the Endowment Effect and the Coase Theorem." *Journal of Political Economy* 98 (6), 1990, 1325-1348.
8. R. King, "An Experimental Investigation of Transaction Costs." *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization* 25, 1994, 391-409.
9. T. Prante, J. Thacher, and R. Berrens, "Evaluating Coasean Bargaining Experiments with Meta-analysis." *Economics Bulletin*
10. Y. Prudencio, "The Voluntary Approach to Externality Problems: An Experimental Test." *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 9, 1982, 213-228.

11. T. Rhoads and J. Shogren, "Coasean Bargaining in Collaborative Environmental Policy." in *The Law and Economics of the Environment*, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2001, 18-44.
12. T. Rhoads and J. Shogren, "On Coasean Bargaining with Transaction Costs." *Applied Economics Letters* 6, 1999, 779-783.
13. T. Rhoads and J. Shogren, "Regulation Through Collaboration: Final Authority and Information Symmetry in Environmental Coasean Bargaining." *Journal of Regulatory Economics* 24 (1), 2003, 63-89.
14. J. Shogren, "Fairness in Bargaining Requires a Context: An Experimental Examination of Loyalty." *Economics Letters* 31, 1989, 319-323.
15. J. Shogren, "An Experiment on Coasian Bargaining Over Ex Ante Lotteries and Ex Post Rewards." *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization* 17, 1992, 153-169.
16. J. Shogren, "Coasean Bargaining With Symmetric Delay Costs." *Resource and Energy Economics* 20, 1998, 309-326.
17. J. Shogren, R. Moffett, and M. Margolis, "Coasean Bargaining with Nonconvexities." *Applied Economics Letters* 9, 2002, 971-977.
18. S. Schwab, "A Coasean Experiment on Contract Presumptions." *Journal of Legal Studies* 17 (2), 1988, 237-268.
19. D. Usher, "The Coase Theorem is Tautological, Incoherent, or Wrong." *Economics Letters* 61, 1998, 3-11.

26. Voluntary Contributions to Public Goods: Theory and Experiments

1. M. Isaac and J. Walker, "Group Size Effects in Public Goods Provision: The Voluntary Contribution Mechanism." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 103, 1988, pp.179-199.
2. J. Andreoni, "Impure Altruism and Donations to Public Goods: A Theory of Warm-glow Giving." *Economic Journal*, 100, 1990, pp. 464-477.
3. J. Andreoni, "Cooperation in Public-goods Experiments: Kindness or Confusion." *American Economic Review*, 85, 1995, pp. 891-904.
4. J. Andreoni, "Warm Glow versus Cold Pickle: The Effects of Positive and Negative Framing on Cooperation in Experiments." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 105, 1995, pp. 1-21.
5. J. Anderson, J. Goeree, and C. Holt, "A Theoretical Analysis of Altruism and Decision Error in Public Goods." *Journal of Public Economics*, 70, 1998, pp. 297-323.

6. T. Cherry, S. Kroll, and J. Shogren, "The Impact of Heterogeneity and Origin on Public Good Contributions: Evidence from the Lab." *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 57, 2005, pp. 357-365.
7. E. Fehr and S. Gächter, "Cooperation and Punishment in Public Goods Experiments." *American Economic Review*, 90, 2000, pp. 980-994.
8. J. Goeree, C. Holt, and S. Laury, "Private Costs and Public Benefits: Unraveling the Effects of Altruism and Noisy Behavior." *Journal of Public Economics*, 83 (2), 2002, pp. 257-278.
9. T. Palfrey and J. Prisbrey, "Anomalous Behavior in Public Goods Experiments: How Much and Why?" *American Economic Review*, 87, 1997, pp. 829-846.
10. J. Andreoni and R. Petrie, "Public Goods Experiments without Confidentiality: A Glimpse into Fundraising." *Journal of Public Economics*, 88(7-8), 2004, pp. 1605-1623.
11. R. Croson, "Theories of Commitment, Altruism and Reciprocity: Evidence from Linear Public Goods Games." *Economic Inquiry*, forthcoming.
12. J. Cox and V. Sadiraj, "On Modeling Voluntary Contributions to Public Good." *Public Finance Review*, 35(2), 311-332.
13. D. Reiley and J. List, "The Effects of Seed Money and Refunds on Charitable Giving: Experimental Evidence from a University Capital Campaign," forthcoming in *Journal of Political Economy*, forthcoming.
14. K. Messer, H. Zarghamee, H. Kaiser, and W. Schulze, "New Hope for the Voluntary Contribution Mechanism: The Effects of Context." *Journal of Public Economics*, 2007.

27. Group vs. Individual Behavior and Group Processes

1. G. Bornstein, "The Free Rider Problem in Intergroup Conflicts Over Step-level and Continuous Public Goods," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 62, 1992, pp. 597-606.
2. G. Bornstein, D. Mingelgrin, and C. Rutte, "The Effect of Within-Group Communication on Group Decision and Individual Choice in the Assurance and Chicken Team Games," *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 40, 1996, pp. 486-501.
3. G. Bornstein and I. Yaniv, "Individual and Group Behavior in the Ultimatum Game: Are Groups More "Rational" Players?" *Experimental Economics*, 1, 1998, pp.101-108.
4. G. Bornstein and U. Gneezy, "Price Competition Between Teams," working paper, Department of Psychology and Center for Rationality and Interactive Decision Theory, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, August 30, 1998

5. J. Cox, "Trust, Reciprocity, and Other-Regarding Preferences: Groups vs. Individuals and Males vs. Females." in Rami Zwick and Amnon Rapoport, (eds.), *Advances in Experimental Business Research*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2002.
6. J. Cox and S. Hayne, "Barking Up the Right Tree: Are Small Groups Rational Agents?" *Experimental Economics*, 9(3), September 2006, pp. 209-222.
7. J. Cox and S. Hayne, "When Does an Incentive for Free Riding Promote Rational Bidding?" in Rami Zwick and Amnon Rapoport, (eds.), *Experimental Business Research*, vol. II, Springer, 2005.

28. Network Experiments

1. P. Brewer and C. Plott, "A Binary Conflict Ascending Price (BICAP) Mechanism for the Decentralized Allocation of the Right to Use Railroad Tracks," *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, vol. 14, 1996, pp. 857-886.
2. P. Brewer, "Decentralized Computation Procurement and Computational Robustness in a Smart Market," *Economic Theory*, January 1999.
3. J. Nilsson, "Allocation of Track Capacity: Experimental Evidence on the Use of Priority Auctioning in the Railway Industry," Working Paper, CTS, Darlana University, 1997.
4. G. Isacsson and J. Nilsson. "An Experimental Comparison of First- and Second-Price Auctions - The Case of Track Capacity," CTS, Darlana University, 1997
5. J. Cox, T. Offerman, M. Olson, and A. Schram, "Competition For vs. On the Rails: A Laboratory Experiment," *International Economic Review*, Vol. 43, No. 3, August 2002.
6. Dinar, R. Howitt, S. Rassenti, and V. Smith, "Development of Water Markets Using Experimental Economics," *Markets for Water Potential and Performance*, edited by K. W. Easter, M. Rosegrant and A. Dinar. Boston, MA: Kluwer, 1998.
7. K. McCabe, St. Rassenti, and V. Smith, "Designing 'Smart' Computer Assisted Markets in an Experimental Auction for gas Networks," *European Journal of Political Economy*, 5, 1989, 259-283.
8. Rassenti, S. Reynolds, and V. Smith, "Cotenancy and Competition in an Experimental Double Auction Market for Natural Gas Pipeline Networks," *Economic Theory*, 4, 1994, pp. 41-65.
9. Rassenti, and V. Smith (1998), "Deregulating Electric Power: Market Design Issues and Experiments," *Designing Competitive Electricity Markets*, edited by H. Chao and H. Huntington. Boston, MA. 1998.

29. Experimental Evaluation of Econometric Estimators of Supply and Demand

1. J. Cox and R. Oaxaca, "Can Supply and Demand Parameters be Recovered from Data

Generated by Market Institutions?", *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, vol. 17, July 1999, pp. 285-297.

30. Agenda Control

1. M.E. Levine & C.R. Plott, "Agenda Influence and its Implications," *Virginia Law Review*, 63, 1977, pp. 561-604.
2. C.R. Plott & M.E. Levine, "A Model of Agenda Influence on Committee Decisions," *American Economic Review*, 68, 1978, pp. 146-60.

31. Experiments with Management Information Systems

1. J. Verstegen, J. Sonnemans, R. Huirne, A. Dijkhuizen, and J. Cox, "Quantifying the Effects of Sow-Herd Management Information Systems on Farmers' Decision Making Using Experimental Economics" *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 80, November 1998.

32. Political Stock Markets

1. R. Forsythe, F. Nelson, G. Neumann, and J. Wright, "Anatomy of an Experimental Political Stock Market," *American Economic Review*, 82, December 1992, pp. 1142-1161.